CHALLENGES IN EXOPLANET TIMESERIES **OBSERVATIONS** DR HANNAH R. WAKEFORD Lecturer in Astrophysics **University of Bristol**

Summer 2021 ERS PRE-LAUNCH DATA HACKATHON





THE GOAL OF UNDERSTANDING THE ATMOSPHERES OF EXOPLANETS



HOW TO GO FROM STELLAR TO PLANETARY SPECTRA





From 2D images to







Images from D.Sing NIRSpec reduction using ExoTiC-ISM functions - see https://spacetelescope.github.io/jdat_notebooks/notebooks/ transit_spectroscopy_notebook/Exoplanet_Transmission_Spectra_JWST.html



Fitted light curve





WHAT WE USE TO MAKE OUR ATMOSPHERIC MEASUREMENTS

Many of the telescopes we use to characterize exoplanet atmospheres were not designed for it!

Hubble, Spitzer, and even Webb were not initially designed to deal with time series data at all.





They were designed for this.....



YET, EXOPLANET SCIENCE COMMAND A HUGE FRACTION OF TIME



No longer in operation

Conducted long >1000 hour observations

IR spectra and multi-band photometry before 2008

IR two-band photometry warm-Spitzer



Still conducting observations and is expected to last many more years.

UV to near-IR low res spectra with 3/4 core instruments used for exoplanets

UV - near-IR observations are vital for our interpretation of exoplanet atmospheres, especially their aerosols

10% - 25%



Soon to be in operation

IR from 1 - 30 microns, low to high res spec, chronography

> Cycle 1 proposal call showed the exoplanet community has at least a 25% stake in the future jobs of Webb

GTO + ERS + GO time is ~27% of time given out.



NO INSTRUMENT IS ALIKE...







Wakeford+ (2020, AJ)

... BUT THERE ARE SOME SIMILARITIES TO WEBB

STIS G430L & G750L



NIRSpec BOTS





WFC3-IR Grism



WFC3-UVIS Grism



WHAT WE HAVE LEARNT FROM THE OBSERVATIONS

High-cadence long-duration time-series observations reveal many 'hidden' secrets of space-based observatories....

SOME OF THE 1ST ORDER EFFECTS TO CONSIDER Cosmic rays & Background Pixel Sensitivity Maps



Original

Cleaned

May+ (2020)

Transitent Events









Stellar Inhomogeneties







Cauley+ (2018)

VISUALIZING YOUR 1D STELLAR SPECTRA AS PIXEL MAPS









Bins are often determined by the features you are searching for.

The two narrow bins here are centered on the atomic Na and K lines in the optical.

Broader molecular features often benefit from even bin widths





TIME SERIES SYSTEMATICS COME IN MANY SHAPES AND FORMS

Instrument Detector **Ramps**

All time series observations have time variable trends. Many detectors have "ramp" effects due to charge build up or trapping





Global impact of thermal and pointing from the whole observatory/telescope







0.3

0.4



TIME SERIES SYSTEMATICS COME IN MANY SHAPES AND FORMS



pointing from the whole observatory/telescope





1.002





WHAT IS USED TO CORRECT ALL OF THIS

<u>Models</u>

Simple

Single systematic models

Common-mode wavelength independent model

Complex

Marginalization

Jitter decorrelation

GP



The larger suite of models considered, the smaller the space assigned to the probability that none of your models can explain your data.

Hannah Wakeford, @stellarplanet



See Gibson (2014, MNRAS) for examples of model treatments

WHAT IS USED TO CORRECT ALL OF THIS <u>Models</u>

The larger suite of models considered, the smaller the space assigned to the probability that none of your models can explain your data.

Iva Laginja, PhD Researcher, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique in Marseille

Parameter Estimation

Single uncertainty on parameters

Output covariance matrix

Full posterior of estimated parameters

Correlation between parameters

Example/Shameless Plug! Complex and quick

Instrument Systematic Marginalisation package with least-squares minimisation Laginja & Wakeford (2020, JOSS)

BINNING YOUR SPECTRUM

Pixel map of the light curve residuals (data - model) for each wavelength bin

WHAT STEPS DO WE NEED TO LOOK AT?

Images from D.Sing NIRSpec reduction using ExoTiC-ISM functions - see https://spacetelescope.github.io/jdat_notebooks/notebooks/ transit_spectroscopy_notebook/Exoplanet_Transmission_Spectra_JWST.html

DIRECTLY COMPARING REDUCTION METHODS

- It is equally as important to validate the shape of the transmission spectrum across multiple reductions.
- If there is a feature there all of them should be able to find them.

Figures comparing the transmission spectra from various reduction techniques. In each of these examples the spectra were all well within 2σ of each other

COMPARING MULTIPLE VISITS AND INSTRUMENTS

It is important to determine if the spectra are consistent across multiple instruments and observations to combine multiple wavelengths.

Is there enough information to determine a trend linked to 3D or 4D effects? (See Kilpatrick+ 2020, AJ)

WHAT STEPS DO WE NEED TO LOOK AT?

Images from D.Sing NIRSpec reduction using ExoTiC-ISM functions - see https://spacetelescope.github.io/jdat_notebooks/notebooks/ transit_spectroscopy_notebook/Exoplanet_Transmission_Spectra_JWST.html

OUR JOB AS OBSERVERS

Test the limits of the instrument (DD-ERS)

Model the systematics and PSF as a function of time and accurately adapt the analysis techniques to account for any changes

Determine if there are offsets between combined datasets or analysis methods

> Explain the origins of the data uncertainty and discuss the impact that may have on retrieved abundances

We need to be as consistent, complex, complete, and timely as possible in our analysis (please pick two)

Learn from observations:

- the physical reasons for the
 - dominant systematics
- how to make the next observations more precise

NEXT! KEVIN STEVENSON WITH THE BREAKDOWN

Transit

Transmission Spectrum

